EXHIBIT S

```
Page 2
 1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                  CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
 4
     THE WIMBLEDON FUND, SPC (CLASS
     TT),
                        PLAINTIFFS,
 6
           VS.
                                         ) CASE NO.
                                         ) 2:15-CV-6633-CAS-ASJWx
 7
     GRAYBOX LLC; INTEGRATED
     ADMINISTRATION; EUGENE SCHER, AS
     TRUSTEE OF BERGSTEIN TRUST; AND
     CASCADE TECHNOLOGIES CORP,
10
                        DEFENDANTS.
11
12
13
14
15
16
               VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MAJID ZARRINKELK, taken
     on behalf of the Plaintiff, at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard,
17
     13th Floor, Los Angeles, California, commencing at
18
     10:29 a.m., Tuesday, March 26, 2019, before Sandra Mitchell,
19
     C.S.R. 12553, pursuant to Notice.
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

```
Page 28
10:58:23
          1
              Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Bergstein was the boss, a very
          2
              shrewd -- and I'm trying to find the right word to
              describe him. Ruthless boss. And then to -- to the
          3
              point that in a couple of our meetings that Kia and
10:58:53
          5
             Mr. Bergstein were present, whatever Kia was to speak,
              he would interrupt him. He would -- he did not mind to
          7
              degrade him, dem- -- demising to -- anyhow, the
              relationship was not a eye to eye. Let's put it this
          9
              way.
                       And did you think Mr. Bergstein was bullying
10:59:25 10
                  0
         11
              Mr. Jam?
                       If Mr. Bergstein was not bullying him -- but
         12
              he -- he had a total control over what Mr. Jam was
         13
              doing, absolutely. No doubt in my mind.
         14
10:59:42 15
                  0
                       Okay. So between --
         16
                       He had -- he had no control. Jam had no
         17
              control over what Bergstein was doing. Although
         18
              everything was under his name, under Kia's name but Kia
              had no control over -- none -- none whatsoever. Not --
         19
10:59:58 20
              not have a say in what -- what to do.
         21
                       Why did Mr. Jam have no control, as you've
         2.2
              described it?
         23
                       MR. MIGLER: Calls for speculation.
         2.4
              BY MR. LATZER:
11:00:09 25
                  0
                       You can answer.
```

	Page 29
11:00:09 1	A That was my understanding, period. That he had
2	no control because all the orders were coming from
3	the orders that related to the matters that I was
4	involved with was coming from David.
11:00:28 5	Q Okay. So you said before that you had met
6	Mr. Bergstein four times?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q Are you referring to four in-person meetings?
9	A The this four times is two business meeting.
11:00:44 10	One meeting was meeting me and Jam and David at their
11	breakfast and the last time that I met him was at Kia's
12	wedding.
13	Q When was that?
14	A November of 2014, if I'm not mistaken.
11:01:14 15	Q Okay. So Mr. Jam invited Mr. Bergstein to his
16	wedding?
17	A That is correct.
18	Q Okay. And, yeah, if we could focus on the 2011
19	to 2013 time per period.
11:01:30 20	A Uh-huh.
21	Q Did you believe that Mr. Jam was not acting
22	voluntarily?
23	A In what sense when you say "voluntarily"?
24	Q In his interactions with Mr. Bergstein, was he
11:01:43 25	not acting voluntarily?

```
Page 30
11:01:46
          1
                       Of course, Mr. Jam is a grown-up man.
                                                               And I
              don't believe that a grown-up man does anything
          3
              unvoluntarily.
                  Q
                       Okay.
11:01:56
          5
                  Α
                       They -- they act on their own. But I have no
              doubt that on business decision-making, especially
              relating to matters that involved David, he had no say,
          7
              none -- none whatsoever.
          9
                       And you said before that Mr. Jam didn't realize
                  Q
              that Mr. Bergstein was -- I think you described him as
11:02:19 10
         11
              an unsavory character.
         12
                       Do you recall that testimony?
                       That's correct.
         13
                  Α
         14
                       Okay. Did you realize he was an unsavory
11:02:28 15
              character?
         16
                       Not at the beginning. As these stories start
              to evolve, then we realized that how he is.
         17
         18
                  Q
                       You realized that?
         19
                       That's correct.
                  Α
11:02:39 20
                       Okay. So when was that?
                  Q
         21
                       Maybe in the last three, four years --
         2.2
                  Q
                       Okay.
         23
                       -- that all these issues came up. And then we
         24
              realized that he was not a straightforward person at --
11:02:58 25
              at least in terms of business dealings.
```

```
Page 45
11:23:31
          1
              went through that credit card and then it never been
              paid. They paid a portion of that. And then at the
              end, there was $700,000 of that was left. Then I got
          3
              into a negotiation with American Express. We settled it
11:23:48
          5
              for 300-some thousand dollars. And the last payment of
              that was done about -- about October of 2018.
          7
                       With respect to the conversation that you had
              with Mr. Jam after things went south, as you described
          9
              before, that conversation concerned your perception that
11:24:16 10
              Mr. Bergstein was controlling Mr. Jam?
         11
                       I've always had that -- again, at that first
         12
              meeting, I -- I got that sense that in this
              relationship, he's the boss and Kia has no -- no say.
         13
         14
                       But the first time that you raised that with
                  Q
11:24:33 15
              Ms. -- with Mr. Jam was when things went south, was your
              testimony before?
         16
                       I -- I believe that's -- that's when we started
         17
         18
              to discuss that. I was just warning him that, "Hey, do
              you realize that these charges are beyond your means and
         19
11:24:50 20
              you are not going to be able to pay that?"
         21
                       He said, "No.
                                      These are not mine.
              David's. He's going to pay it, so don't worry."
         2.2
         23
                       Okay. And so approximately when was that?
         24
                       I think it should have been '14, '15, or
              even -- it might be even '13 -- '13, '14, '15. I -- I
11:25:09 25
```

```
Page 138
              a few more for IA to be entered."
14:20:57
          1
          2
                       And there is -- the first reference is a 40K
          3
              wire to the Bergstein trust.
                       Do you see that?
                       Uh-huh.
14:21:07
          5
                  Α
                       Do you have an understanding of what the
          7
              Bergstein trust is?
          8
                  Α
                       Apparently, David Bergstein had a trust.
          9
                       Okay. Do you know anything about that trust?
                  Q
14:21:17 10
                       No.
                  Α
         11
                       You don't know who the beneficiaries are?
         12
                  Α
                       No.
                            No.
         13
                       Okay.
                  0
         14
                       I didn't even know Bergstein had two kids until
                  Α
14:21:27 15
              lately.
                       So I didn't know much about David Bergstein.
         16
                       Okay. Do you have an understanding of why
              Integrated Administration was transferring $40,000 to
         17
         18
              the Bergstein trust?
                       Again, these are all the monies that were
         19
14:21:45 20
              coming in and going out. It was under his control. He
         21
              knew what they were, and he would direct to Kia as to
              what to do with those funds. Kia had no control.
         2.2
         23
              None -- none whatsoever.
                       Okay. This e-mail is --
         24
14:22:05 25
                       Is from Kia.
                  Α
```

```
Page 139
14:22:06
         1
                  Q
                       Is from -- let me just finish.
                       This e-mail is from Kia and Mr. Bergstein is
          3
              not on it?
                  Α
                       Of course not.
                       Okay. So is it your testimony that Mr. Jam was
14:22:11
          5
                  Q
              not acting voluntarily in sending this e-mail?
                       Oh, I did not say that. He did not act
          7
              voluntarily. I'm -- I'm saying Kia was conveying David
          9
              Bergstein instruction to us. In fact, he did -- he's
              saying that, "Ray Shahab, for tomorrow's report, please
14:22:31 10
         11
              include these additional transactions that we've done."
         12
                       Apparently, they had transferred $40,000 wire
              to Bergstein trust, another 30 to Graybox, which we did
         13
         14
              not know. So he's informing us to incorporate that in
14:22:50 15
              our report for the next day.
         16
                       Okay. Is it your testimony that Mr. Jam was
              incapable of refusing an instruction from Mr. Bergstein?
         17
         18
                       MR. MIGLER: It misstates testimony.
         19
                       THE WITNESS: Huh?
14:23:01 20
                       MR. MIGLER: I was -- I was objecting that that
         21
              misstate -- misstated your testimony.
         2.2
                       THE WITNESS: That is correct. I -- I never
         23
              said that. But I believe that Kia was under absolute,
              absolute control of David when it came to the money and
         24
              what to do with those funds. He had no control.
14:23:16 25
```

```
Page 140
14:23:19
          1
              None -- none or whatsoever. That's my understanding.
          2
                       Again, I am sitting in the Orange County, and
              all these communications are going back and forth with
          3
              phone calls, with e-mails. Okay. So that's the
14:23:34
          5
              understanding that I had. Whether it's right or wrong,
              it is my understanding.
          6
              BY MR. LATZER:
          7
          8
                       But you testified earlier that Mr. Jam is a
          9
              grown man; right?
14:23:43 10
                       That is correct.
                  Α
         11
                       And he was acting voluntarily here?
                       I said -- you asked me a question, specifically
         12
              question about whether someone can do something
         13
              voluntarily. I said, "No. Grown-up man can do that or
         14
              can be forced to do volun-" -- "voluntarily."
14:23:56 15
         16
                       And is it your understanding that at the time
              that these transactions are happening that Mr. Jam was
         17
         18
              benefiting financially?
                       Mr. Jam never benefited. Not ever. Not even a
         19
                  Α
14:24:16 20
              penny. I can say it right here, you can put me on the
         21
              stand in the court. I would say the very same thing.
              Had it done, he would not have been in this financial
         2.2
         23
              disaster situation that he cannot even afford to pay his
              mortgage payment, and I helped him to do that. Ever.
         24
14:24:36 25
              He never. If he took a million-dollar or $5 million
```